United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,781	10/06/2003	Thomas D. Anderson	60861-0038-US	5858
	7590 01/09/200 WIS & BOCKIUS, LL	EXAMINER		
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE			KOCZO JR, MICHAEL	
3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
				×
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/680,781	ANDERSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	_· action is non-final.				
<u> </u>		secution as to the merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	x parto quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.3.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>12-16 and 18-20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
		to by the Eveniner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The datifor declaration is objected to by the Ex-	anilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application			
0)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 to 10, drawn to a method of controlling a pump, classified in class 417, subclass 53.
- II. Claims 11 to 20, drawn to a peristaltic pump and motor control, classified in class417, subclass 44.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a vane type or interengaging rotor pump.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Gary S. Williams on January 3, 2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 11 to 20.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims

Art Unit: 3746

1 to 10 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in para. 0020, line 3 from the bottom, "compresses" should read --compressed--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "the pump calibration". It would appear that this claim should depend on claim 16.

Art Unit: 3746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokorozawa et al (US 4,231,707) in view of Jackson et al (US 4,884,013). Tokorozawa et al disclose a peristaltic pump having a motor controller which uses mechanical or optical means for counting the units of rotation of the pump rotor and stops the motor when the counted units of rotation reach a specified target count value (col. 8, para. 2). However, Tokorozawa et al do not disclose monitoring the drive current of the motor so as to track rotation of the pump rotor. Jackson et al disclose a peristaltic pump having motor control wherein the units of rotation of the pump rotor are determined by monitoring the drive current of the motor. Using an electronic component in order to monitor the drive current of the motor is structurally simpler, more compact and more cost effective as compared to the mechanical/optical means of Tokorozawa et al. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to substitute an electronic drive current monitoring means for the mechanical/optical rotation counting means of Tokorozawa et al. The added language to claim 11 is implicit in any fixed displacement pump and therefore does not define over the prior art.

Allowable Matter Subject

Claims 12 to 16 and 18 to 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached at 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,781

Art Unit: 3746

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746